Europium(III) complexed by HPSEC size-fractions of a vertisol humic acid: Small differences evidenced by time-resolved luminescence spectroscopy
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ABSTRACT

The size fractionation of a humic acid (HA) by high performance size exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) was used as a proxy for the filtration effect during HA transport through a porous medium with minimum specific chemical interactions. The modification of the Eu(III)–HA complexes' structures was shown and related to the molecular characteristics of the separated size-fractions. The properties of most of size-fractions did not induce a major alteration of the affinity towards Eu(III). Only the most hydrophilic fractions eluted in the tail of the chromatographic peak, representing about 11% of total fractions’ weight, gave some significantly different parameters. Using a simplistic complexation model, it was found that the available complexation sites decreased with the size reduction of humic fractions.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Humic substances (HS), mainly composed of humic acids (HA) and fulvic acids (FA), are the main surrogate of natural organic matter. They play an important role in the transport of lanthanides (Ln) and actinides (An) in the environment [1–4]. The exact nature of the Ln/An–HS interactions during transport and especially during sorption mechanisms is still unclear. Particularly, the fractionation of natural organic matter on mineral surfaces introduces some difficulties in modelling sorption of metals in ternary system, i.e., metal/HA/surface. In the case of FA, the modelling approach was partly successful, although the FA’s sorptive behaviour may be explained with electrostatic considerations [5,6], and assumed to be more or less similar to that of simple organic molecules [7]. The FA sorption is stable or tends to decrease with ionic strength [8–10]. Conversely, HA have a different behaviour since a sorption increase on oxides is occurring [8,10,11]. Hence, it is still difficult to propose sound interpretations of HA effects on the modelling of ternary systems in a wide parametric space [12,13].

The intimate structure of HS is still a matter of debate. Nevertheless, a consensus is emerging from small-angle scattering methods [14], atomic force microscopy [15,16], high-performance size-exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) [17,18], electrospray ionization mass spectrometry [19,20], electrophoresis [21], or nuclear magnetic resonance [22,23], on the fact that HS are formed of nanometric sub-entities that associate in larger bodies in the case of HA. The cohesion of these associations is assured through short range interactions, which can be largely modified in contact with strong sorbing mineral surfaces like Fe- or Al-oxides [24–27].

During transport in a particular environment, HA associations can be submitted to different interactions. First, a ‘simple’ physical filtration effect, which can alter the HA structure as by performing a size-exclusion ‘sorting out’ different constituents making up the associations. Second, a sorptive fractionation induced by chemical interactions with minerals in the environment, which induces a separation of constituents based on their affinity to the mineral phase [24–27]. In fact, it is difficult to obtain these parameters independently. Christl et al. showed that the functionality [28] and metal binding [29] of different size-fractions of a HA from ultrafiltration were comparable within a narrow range. Conversely, Hur and Schlautman [25], Reiller et al. [27] and Janot et al. [30] showed that clear modification occurred on mass-distribution and acid–base properties of HA after sorptive fractionation. In addition, Claret et al. [26] noted that the influence of sorptive fractionation of HS on α-Al2O3 was important from the evolution of the chemical environment of europium(III) by time-resolved luminescence spectroscopy (TRLS). And recently, Janot et al. [31] showed...
that the chemical environment of Eu(III) was greatly affected during sorption in a ternary Eu(III)/HA/Al$_2$O$_3$ system. The relative importance of the physical filtration effect compared to the sorptive fractionation that occurs simultaneously during transport is still to be evaluated.

The aim of this work was to study the differences in chemical environment of Eu(III), if any, when complexed by HPSEC-separated humic size-fractions, as a proxy for the physical filtration effect on HA during transport through a porous medium with minimum specific chemical interactions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Humic acid

The humic acid from Ginchi (GHA) was extracted from a recently cultivated forested vertisol of Ethiopian highlands (09° 01’N, 38° 20’E, 2300 m asl, see Ref. [32], for further details). Soil properties, isolation and purification procedures, and GHA characteristics are reported elsewhere [32,33]. The previously freeze-dried GHA was suspended in distilled water and titrated to pH 7 with a CO$_2$-free solution of 0.5 mol L$^{-1}$ KOH by an automatic titrator (VIT 90 Videotitrator, Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark) under N$_2$ atmosphere and stirring. After having reached the constant pH 7, the solution containing potassium-humates was left under titration for 2 more hours, filtered through a Millipore 0.45 μm, and freeze-dried. Potassium humates were pretitrated at pH 7 to limit HPSEC interferences.

2.2. Preparative HPSEC separation

The mobile phase for HPSEC consisted of a 242 mg L$^{-1}$ Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 248 mg L$^{-1}$ NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 820 mg L$^{-1}$ CH$_3$CO$_2$Na, milli-Q water solution at pH 7 and added with 300 mg L$^{-1}$ Na$_2$CO$_3$ as bacteriostatic agent. The same solution was used to dissolve the potassium humates to a concentration of 600 mg L$^{-1}$. The humic solution was filtered through glass micro fibre filters (Whatman GF/C) and loaded into a rhodyne rotatory injector, equipped with a 5 mL sample loop. The HPSEC system consisted of a Gilson autosampler model 231, a Gilson 305 pump, a preparative Biosep SEC-S-2000 (600 mm, 21.2 mm id) column, preceded by a Biosep SEC-S-2000 guard column (78.0 mm, 21.2 mm id) both from Phenomenex (USA), a Gilson 116 UV detector set at 280 nm, and a Gilson FC205 fraction collector, to automatically collect humic fractions in continuous. The elution flow-rate was set at 1.5 mL min$^{-1}$ and all chromatographic runs were automatically recorded by a Unipoint Gilson Software. Ten fractions were separated with HPSEC elution: within a 37.5–135.0 mL interval, nine fractions were collected changing their volume, resuspended in 5 mL of deionised water, dialyzed against deionised water, and freeze-dried. Potassium humates were pretitrated at pH 7 to limit HPSEC interferences.

2.3. Solution

Europium(III) stock solution was obtained from the dissolution of Eu$_2$O$_3$ (Johnson Matthey, 99.99%) in HClO$_4$. It is assumed that the humic complexation is complete with C(Eu) = 10 μmol L$^{-1}$ and C(HS) = 200 mg L$^{-1}$ at pH 5 [37], even if the ratios between Eu(III) and the number of available sites in the different fractions can be slightly different [28,29,38]. The ionic strength was fixed with 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ (NaClO$_4$), and pH 5 was adjusted using freshly prepared NaOH and HClO$_4$. The dissolution of GHA, bulk and fractions, was done at pH 10 overnight and then adjusted to pH 5. The pH measurements were done using a combined-electrode (Radiometer Analytical XC1111) calibrated for its linear response with a 0.01 mol L$^{-1}$ HClO$_4$ solution, an equimolar 0.02 mol L$^{-1}$ NaH$_2$PO$_4$/Na$_2$HPO$_4$ solution and an equimolar 0.02 mol L$^{-1}$ Na$_2$CO$_3$/NaHCO$_3$ solution, all containing NaClO$_4$ to keep [Na$^+$] constant at 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ (pH = 2.0, 6.8, and 9.9, respec-
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were recorded using a CCD camera cooled at around 593 nm), and the 5D0 level (full line, this study).

The 5D0 level is transferring energy to the 5D1 level and, after 10 ns pulse with a repetition rate of 10 Hz. After inner conversion, the signal is collected during a gate width delay, mainly the transitions to the 7F2 ‘hypersensitive’ transition. The magnetic dipole transition 5D0 → 7F1 of Eu(III), providing about 1 mJ of energy in the 5((D1 → D0) transition of Eu(III), has been used to study the Ln(III)/HS interactions, and the rationale has been used to study the Ln(III)/HS interactions, and the rationale was to either determine interaction constants, or gather information on the Ln/An(III) chemical environment.

2.4. Time-resolved luminescence spectroscopy

Europium(III) has been used to probe its laser-induced luminescence properties in contact with humic substances. This technique has been used to study the Ln(III)/HS interactions, and the rationale was to either determine interaction constants, or gather information on the Ln/An(III) chemical environment. Europium(III) has been used to probe its laser-induced luminescence properties in contact with humic substances. This technique has been used to study the Ln(III)/HS interactions, and the rationale was to either determine interaction constants, or gather information on the Ln/An(III) chemical environment. Europium(III) has been used to probe its laser-induced luminescence properties in contact with humic substances. This technique has been used to study the Ln(III)/HS interactions, and the rationale was to either determine interaction constants, or gather information on the Ln/An(III) chemical environment. Europium(III) has been used to probe its laser-induced luminescence properties in contact with humic substances. This technique has been used to study the Ln(III)/HS interactions, and the rationale was to either determine interaction constants, or gather information on the Ln/An(III) chemical environment.

The excitation laser beam was generated by a 355 nm tripled Nd-YAG laser, coupled to an optical parametric oscillator system (Panther II, Continuum, USA). The wavelength was tuned to 394 nm, which corresponds to the 7F0 → 7L6 transition of Eu(III), providing about 1 mJ of energy in a 5 ns pulse with a repetition rate of 10 Hz. After inner conversion, the 5D1 level is transferring energy to the 5D0 level and, after 10 μs delay, mainly the transitions to the 7F2 manifold can be observed. Additionally, HS are able to absorb the laser emission at 394 nm and part of the absorbed energy is transferred from the 5π* triplet level to the central europium ion. The time-resolved luminescence signal is collected at 90° and focused into a Acton spectrometer (slit 1 mm) using either a 600 lines mm⁻¹ or a 1800 lines mm⁻¹ grating. The signal is collected during a gate width W = 300 μs after a gate delay D = 10 μs after excitation by the laser flash. Emission spectra were recorded using a CCD camera cooled at −15 °C.

As for previous studies [47,48] the photo-degradation [43] of both the original humic acid and HPSEC fractions can be neglected under our conditions.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. 5D0 → 7F0 and 5D0 → 7F1 transitions at 1800 lines mm⁻¹

The 5D0 → 7F0 and 5D0 → 7F1 transitions of the Eu(III)–GHA complex are presented in Fig. 2, together with Eu(III) complexed by Suwannee River FA (SRFA), and HA (SRHA) spectra previously obtained [48]. It can be noted that the 5D0 → 7F0 transition, which is not apparent in the Eu³⁺ spectrum for magnetic and electric reasons, becomes important in Eu(III)–HS complexes due to loss of symmetry [50,51]. The transition is slightly red-shifted in the Eu(III)–GHA complex as compared to Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA complexes. The maximum and full-width at mid-height (W) of this transition are obtained from a fitting with a Lorentzian–Gaussian peak [52], $I_i = I_{\text{max},i}$:

$$I_i = I_{\text{max},i} \exp \left\{ -0.5 \left( \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_0(i)}{\sigma_{\text{LG},i}} \right)^2 \right\} \left( \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_0(i)}{\sigma_{\text{LG},i}} \right)^2 + 1$$

where $I_{\text{max},i}$ is the maximum intensity of the ith peak, $\lambda_0(i)$ is the wavelength of this maximum, and $\sigma_{\text{LG},i}$ is the ‘standard deviation’, which can be related to $W_i = 1.46 \sigma_{\text{LG},i}$.

As shown by Reiller and Brevet [48], the mono-component fit of the transition is satisfactory in consideration of the existing signal-to-noise ratio (Fig. S1 of SI). The peak maximum gave the value of $\lambda_{\text{Eu-GHA}} = 579.26$ nm with $\sigma_{\text{LG}} = 0.75$ nm. The red-shift of the peak maximum was significant in comparison to $\lambda_{\text{Eu-SRFA}} = 579.03$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{Eu-SRHA}} = 578.98$ nm [48]. Application of the relation between the number of coordinated ligands and wave number of the 5D0 → 3D0 transition $\nu_0$ (17263.5 cm⁻¹), i.e., CN = 0.237 $\Delta U + 0.638$ [53], yielded a CN of 3.6 ± 0.7. This was slightly larger than for both Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA complexes, i.e., CN = 2.0 and 1.6 (± 0.7), respectively [48]. This suggests that the chemical environment for Eu(III) in complexes with GHA may be somewhat different from those with Suwannee River extracts.

The CN value, resulting in $W_{\text{GHA}} = 1.1$ nm, compared well with those obtained with Suwannee River extracts, i.e., $\sigma_{\text{LG,SRFA}} = 0.71$ nm ($W_{\text{SRFA}} = 1.04$ nm) and $\sigma_{\text{LG,SRHA}} = 0.72$ nm ($W_{\text{SRHA}} = 1.05$ nm) [48] or other humic extracts [42]. This further confirmed the large distribution of this transition in humic solution, as compared to simple molecules [54,55], or virus binding sites [56], and also revealed the general large distribution of chemical environments in HS.

The peak ratios $5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow 7\text{F}_0/5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow 7\text{F}_1$ are also noticeably different between Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA complexes and Eu(III)–GHA complex. The value of the maximum intensity ratio of the peaks, $k(5\text{D}_0/7\text{F}_1) = 0.77$, is lower than the one obtained for Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA, i.e. 0.87 and 0.99, respectively [48]. This suggests that the loss of symmetry in the case of Eu(III)–GHA is lesser than for Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA. However, the area ratio (trapezoid peak maximum) gave the value of $\lambda_{\text{Eu-GHA}} = 579.26$ nm with $\sigma_{\text{LG}} = 0.75$ nm. The red-shift of the peak maximum was significant in comparison to $\lambda_{\text{Eu-SRFA}} = 579.03$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{Eu-SRHA}} = 578.98$ nm [48]. Application of the relation between the number of coordinated ligands and wave number of the 5D0 → 3D0 transition $\nu_0$ (17263.5 cm⁻¹), i.e., CN = 0.237 $\Delta U + 0.638$ [53], yielded a CN of 3.6 ± 0.7. This was slightly larger than for both Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA complexes, i.e., CN = 2.0 and 1.6 (± 0.7), respectively [48]. This suggests that the chemical environment for Eu(III) in complexes with GHA may be somewhat different from those with Suwannee River extracts.

The CN value, resulting in $W_{\text{GHA}} = 1.1$ nm, compared well with those obtained with Suwannee River extracts, i.e., $\sigma_{\text{LG,SRFA}} = 0.71$ nm ($W_{\text{SRFA}} = 1.04$ nm) and $\sigma_{\text{LG,SRHA}} = 0.72$ nm ($W_{\text{SRHA}} = 1.05$ nm) [48] or other humic extracts [42]. This further confirmed the large distribution of this transition in humic solution, as compared to simple molecules [54,55], or virus binding sites [56], and also revealed the general large distribution of chemical environments in HS.

The peak ratios $5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow 7\text{F}_0/5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow 7\text{F}_1$ are also noticeably different between Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA complexes and Eu(III)–GHA complex. The value of the maximum intensity ratio of the peaks, $k(5\text{D}_0/7\text{F}_1) = 0.71$, is lower than the one obtained for Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA, i.e. 0.87 and 0.99, respectively [48]. This suggests that the loss of symmetry in the case of Eu(III)–GHA is lesser than for Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA. However, the area ratio (trapezoid method) of Eu(III)–GHA, i.e., $A(7\text{F}_0/7\text{F}_1) = 0.134$, is comparable to those of Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA that showed values of 0.139 and 0.150, respectively [48]. This suggests that Eu(III)–SRFA and –SRHA complexes were the shoulder of the 7F1 transition. The magnetic dipole transition 5D0 → 7F1 is not supposed to be largely influenced by the subtle changes in symmetry [57] that seem to exist among the different chemical environments provided by the different humic fractions. Only a close inspection at 1800 lines mm⁻¹ permits to reveal these modifications directly in aqueous solution at ambient temperature.

3.2. 5D0 → 7F2 at 1800 lines mm⁻¹

The 5D0 → 7F2 hypersensitive transition of the Eu(III)–GHA complex is shown in Fig. 3, as compared to Eu(III)–HS complexes previously obtained with Suwannee River HA and FA [47,48]. Gorleben AH, and Leonardite HA [47]. The modification of the transition’s structure is even more spectacular, as compared to 5D0 → 7F1. The most outstanding difference among the different complexes was the shoulder ca. 612 nm that was more prominent for Eu(III)–SRFA than for any other extract. The HS from purely aquatic medium, i.e. SRHA (Fig. 3), and Kleiner Kranichsee bog
HA and FA (Germany, see Fig. 4 in Ref. [47]), exhibited mostly the same transition shape, with a lower 612 nm shoulder. Finally, Leonardite HA and Gorleben HA, together with commercial Aldrich HA, showed a narrow-shaped transition with the lowest intensity for 612 nm shoulders.

The origin of these different 612 nm shoulders is not easily settled. One can be tempted to attribute this shoulder a Stark level. A Stark level can be identified in solid state or in solution at low temperature (4 K). In aqueous solution under ambient temperature we have the 612 nm shoulder is not easily settled and would need further investigations of functionality that lead to overlapping. Hence, the origin of the 612 nm shoulder is not easily settled and would need further developments that are out of the scope of this study.

Our results show that the 612 nm shoulder of GHA is comparable to other ‘terrestrial’ HA extracts. Nevertheless, the wavelength span greater than 615 nm is not comparable to Leonardite HA nor to Gorleben HA (see Ref. [47], and reference therein). This part of the spectrum is positioned in between the two families of Eu(III)–HS complexes. One can interpret these differences in peak’s shapes with the degree of humification [58]. Since GHA is originated from a forest soil, its diagenesis is presumably more advanced than in Suwannee River, although it should be less advanced than in a lignite like Leonardite HA, or in an oxidized extract from a sedimentary deposit like Gorleben [59].

3.3. Comparisons of the complexometric titrations

The complexometric titration curves for the different extracts are reported in Fig. 4. These curves were obtained from the evolution of the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2 / ^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$ peak area ratios [26,39]. Three groups of fractions can be proposed. First, the fractions for which complexation properties were mostly identical to the original bulk GHA, i.e., G2–G4, G7, and G8. Second, G1, G5, and G7 showed only slightly lower complexation strengths, as compared to bulk GHA. Third, the most retarded G9 and G10 fractions appeared increasingly different from bulk GHA. It can then be seen that most of fractions could be fairly well compared with the bulk GHA, except for the ‘smallest’ or ‘lightest’ fractions, which were significantly different in terms of complexation strength.

The interactions can be quantified using a simplistic model [60], where humic substances are considered as mixture of discrete sites
Although log of humic sites since it appeared to be already attained (Fig. 4). Evolution of A(7F2/7F1) (up) and A(7F0/7F1) (down) of Eu(III) complexed sorptive HS fractionation on has move more freely through the gel. This effect is nevertheless plexation strength seems to be weaker than that of materials that molecular weight molecules finally reaches the outlet, their com-
massive to be more retarded in the gel structure. When the low ing the size fractionation. The low molecular-weight molecules are
fractionation modifies the indirect excitation of the central europium(III) atom via the 3π* triplet level. We must also pre-
cise here, that no assumption is made hitherto on the functionality of these aromatic molecules. The influence of aromatic moieties on Eu(III) luminescence do not mean that europium(III) is linked to phenolic moieties at pH 5, which is unlikely (ca. 1% of the total Eu-HS complex following Ref. [67] for a generic HA), but that aromatic moieties contribute to the excitation. The energy transfer could be due to a resonance phenomenon or due to an exchange mechanism, i.e., Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) or Dexter energy transfer, respectively [68]. FRET can be operative over distances up to 10 nm depending on the particular donor–acceptor pair. The energy transfer according to Dexter requires an overlap of the electron orbital of the donor and the acceptor. As the dis-
tance between the ligands and Eu(III) is relatively short, the Dexter energy transfer could be favoured. So far, in the case of HA, the link between the binding sites and the chromophores engaged in the energy transfer has not been demonstrated unequivocally. Thus, the resonance phenomena cannot be ruled out.

The evolution of the 5D0 → 7F2 transition was further checked using a grating of 1800 lines mm⁻1. The main difference among fractions was the 612 nm shoulder, as already discussed for G5 and G9, although no systematic evolution could be revealed (data not shown).

3.5. Luminescence decay-times analyses of GHA

The luminescence decays of the Eu(III)–HS complexes are gen-
erally described by a bi-exponential function, which is described for our fully integrative system by:

\[
F = \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{\infty} P_i \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau_i}\right) dt = \sum_{i} \tau_i \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau_i}\right) \left[1 - \exp \left(-\frac{W}{\tau_i}\right)\right]
\]

\[
F = F_0 \left[\tau_1 \exp \left(-\frac{W}{\tau_1}\right) + (1 - \tau_1) \tau_2 \exp \left(-\frac{W}{\tau_2}\right)\right] \left[1 - \exp \left(-\frac{W}{\tau_2}\right)\right]
\]

where \(F\) is the luminescence signal, \(F_0\), and \(\tau_i\) are the initial luminescence and the decay time of component \(i\), respectively, \(x_i\) is the proportion of the first decay in the global signal, \(D\) is the delay after the laser excitation, and \(W\) is the gate width. The possible influence of photochemical reactions of humic substances on the complexity strength can be neglected out under our experimental conditions as seen previously [48,69].

The decay time evolution was obtained from the 5D0 → 7F2 peak area for different Eu(III)–GHA complexes at delays between 10 and 505 μs (Fig. S3 and Table S1 of SI). The inevitable correlation between the parameters is shown in Table S1 of the SI. This showed, as for other extracts [40,46–48], a bi-exponential decay with \(\tau_1 = 44 ± 2\) μs and \(\tau_2 = 184 ± 3\) μs. The bi-exponential decay can only happens if two deexcitation processes from two different excited states are occurring. In our case the deexcitation states seem to be very close in structure [48], and \(\tau_1\), seemed to be char-
acteristic of a fast reaction in the excited state for Ln(III) and An(III) [48,70,71]. Hence this 'species' only occurs during the excitation and is not involved in the environmental reaction without direct excitation.

Conversely, \(\tau_2\) could be interpreted as a function of Eu(III) chemical environment in humic–complexes. The differences in spectra at low and high delay were evidenced in Reiller and Brevet [48]. The application of the relation between \(\tau_2\) and the number of water molecules in the first hydration sphere proposed by Kimura et al.
yielded a number of $5 \pm 0.5$ remaining water molecules out of 9. This is in agreement with the coordination number of 3.6, as determined from $\lambda_{\text{max}}(F_0)$. It is to be pointed out that the particular dependence of Eu(III)–HS complexes on the number of remaining water molecules in the first coordination sphere, has not been verified up to now. Moreover, the possibility of internal quenching leading to a different expression is possible [73–75].

The fitting of the luminescence decays obtained for the Eu(III) complexes is reported in Table S1 of the SI. The evolution of decay times with different GHA size-fractions are reported on Fig. 7. In line with previous studies in aqueous solution [46–48], $\tau_1$ did not show a major trend. We also verified this lack of dependence in Eu–HA sorbed on α-alumina [31]. If this fast decay time was due to a fast exchange, then its lack of modification with origin or mode of preparation of humic size-fraction would be explainable. The very slight decrease observed for $\tau_2$ could eventually be reconciled with a difference of one H$_2$O molecule in the first hydration sphere. However, the uncertainty of this determination ($\sigma = 0.5$) prevents the reliability of the trend. Hence, there is not a major difference between all these samples.

### 3.6. Link with the structure of HPSEC-fractions

It is to remind here that as humic substances are operationally defined, they are not classes of molecules, and conclusions on one extract should be verified on other specific extracts before generalisation. This work is dealing with physical filtration effects, which are only part of a larger picture that includes sorptive fractionation under less pressure and longer contact time. Nevertheless, from the point of view of physico-chemical properties, the wide existing data bases of acid–base properties and complexation parameters [61,67,76,77], as well as Ln/An(III) luminescence spectra [47,48], one can advance, without being too much optimistic, that there is not major differences between humic acid samples from wherever they are. Furthermore, from a structural point of view, the differences between origins of humic acids can be very thin [78], and can depend on the dissolution procedure [79]. It is also noteworthy that we cannot consider the obtained HPSEC fractions as individual molecules, but rather as aggregates of different (lower) degrees.

Conte et al. [22,23] have shown the structural modification of size-fractions during HPSEC separation for two different humic acids. Enrichment in aliphatic carbon and a decrease in aromatic carbon were assessed by $^{13}$C-CPMAS NMR spectra as humic size-fractions were progressively eluted in HPSEC. Here, we noted that the evolution of $A(\tau_2/F_2)$ followed closely the expected change in aromatic content during the HPSEC separation of size-fractions [22]. In fact, we found an initial decrease for the first size-fraction relative to the bulk sample, and then a peak followed by a monotonous decrease. Comparison with HPSEC separation from a lignite humic acid is more difficult since the smallest-sized fractions were not collected and analyzed [23].

Conte et al. [22,23] also found that the smaller molecular-sized fractions were chemically alike and different from previously eluted fractions [22]. Our complexation results indicate that fractions G9 and G10 behaved differently from the rest of size-fractions. Our findings also relate the decrease in number of complexation sites to the decrease of carboxylic carbons as shown by Conte et al. [22], even though the evolution is not as spectacular. We noted that the lower-size fractions used by Conte et al. [22] were also poorer in aromatic molecules than other fractions.

Finally, the G9 and G10 fractions were the least abundant among the GHA fractions, i.e., 4% and 7% in weight, respectively. Hence, from a complexation point of view, 89% of fractions behaved similarly to the bulk GHA, despite the evident modifications found for the Eu(III) complexation environment.

### 4. Conclusion

Structures of the Eu(III) complexes of Ginchi HA and of its HPSEC-fractions, probed using TRLS, are slightly but significantly different. Nevertheless, these differences are not sufficient to changes the decay time of the Eu(III) luminescence within the complexes. Hence, even if the HPSEC processes induce changes within the structure of the fraction as compared to the bulk HA, ca. 90% of the Eu(III) complexes with the HA fractions are very much similar and could be considered as equivalent to bulk HA in a first approximation. Only ca. 10% of HA fractions can be considered to present a somewhat stronger affinity for Eu(III). They represent the lighter fractions which are retarded in the structure of the immobile phase of HPSEC.

HPSEC can be considered as a forced migration process which minimizes chemical interactions between the mobile (HS) and the immobile phase, i.e., mostly physical interactions are in stakes in a dynamic system. Hence, one can also consider that even if the HS fractions submitted to this physical ‘sorting out’ are different, they share mostly the same type of interaction strength with the bulk
HA. These subtle structural modifications are less important than those evidenced on oxides [25–27]. Particularly, the modification of the Eu(III) environment is clearly less important after a ‘physical’ sorptive fractionation as compared to a ‘real’ or ‘chemical’ sorptive fractionation.
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